New Tonkin Inquiry To Call McNamara

By JOHN W. FINNEY Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, Jan. 30 he Senate Foreign Relations committee decided today to reexamine the Administration's account of the Gulf of Tonkin incidents by calling Defense Secretary Robert S. McNamara for further testimony.

The effect of the committee's action was to elevate what t had been a personal staff 1 study ordered by its chairman, Senator J. W. Fulbright of Are kansas, into a formal invest tigation of an episode that n proved to be critical in Ameri-, f can involvement in the Viet-

The Gulf of Tonkin incidents, in which two American de-

Continued on Page 7, Column I

Continued From Page 1, Col. 8

stroyers reportedly came under attack by North Vietnamese PT boats in early August of 1964, became a turning point in the war. In retaliation, the Administration ordered the first bombing raids against North Vietnam and obtained Congressional approval of a reso-lution endorsing "all necessary measures" taken by the Ad-ministration to prevent "fur-ther aggression" in Southeast Asia.

Now, three and one half years later, the Senate committee is questioning whether the Administration presented or was aware of all the facts in the reported attacks when it asked Congress for the resolution, which Under Secretary of State Nicholas deB. Katzenbach was later to describe as "a functional equivalent" of a declaration of war against North Vietnam.

The committee is not so much challenging whether the stroyers on a sensitive mission of the Tonkin Resolution.

The committee is not so of the Tonkin Resolution.

The committee obviously was destroyers came under attack, approaching the Tonkin inquiry, although there are some doubts among committee members about the nature of the second attack, which took place on the

cerned over how and why the cidents. that undertaken by the Administration sent the de- In affiniuncing the commit- Pueblo off North Korea.



Senator J. W. Fulbright

stroyers on a sensitive mission

The committee obviously was

about the nature of the second attack, which took place on the night of Aug. 4, 1964, against the destroyers Maddox and Turner Joy. The first attack, about which there is no question, took place in daylight on Aug. 2 against the Maddox.

Ther, the committee is return questions as to whether the Administration had conclusive proof of the second attack before ordering, some eight hours later, retaliatory raids against North Vietnam and presenting Congress the next day with the Gulf of Tonkin Resolution.

The committee also is concerned over how and why the cidents.

The committee the Aug and tatack, with the several weeks, with considerable caution.

As committee members prishortly before the Aug. 2 attack the Maddox had taken aboard in Taiwan a "black box" of electronic equipment.

Working from logs and messages supplied by the Pentagon and another crisis involving the seizure of an American intelligence mission, and committee staff study, that shortly before the Aug. 2 attack the Maddox had taken aboard in Taiwan a "black box" of electronic equipment.

Working from logs and messages supplied by the Pentagon and another crisis involving the seizure of an American intelligence that prior to the Aug 2 attack, the Maddox had beer zigzagging in and out of the committee territorial waters claimed by North Vietnam.

The caution was reflected in the comments of Senator J.

W. Fulbright, the committee committee territorial waters claimed by North Vietnam.

The maneuvers of the destroyer, combined with the presence of secret electronic mand the committee was questioning the administration's integrity in the Administration's integrity in the Administration's integrity in the Administration's integrity in the Administration in the Maddox had beer zigzagging in and out of the committee was questioning the committee was questioning the committee was questioning the properties of the Administration's integrity in the Administration's integrity in the Administration in the middentical properties and the Maddox was on an electr

tee's decision, Senator Fulbright said the committee wanted Mr. McNamara to appear to "review certain aspects" of the Tonkin incidents. When pressed by reporters as to precisely what the commit-tee wanted to "review" with Mr. McNamara, Senator Ful-bright repeatedly replied: "I don't want to discuss that." But Senator Eugene J. Mc-Corthy of Minnestra, who is

Carthy of Minnesota, who is campaigning for the Democratic Presidential nomination in protest against the Administration's Vietnam policies, was less inhibited in discussing the committee's objectives.

Talking to reporters after the meeting, Senator McCarthy said among other things that the committee wanted to find out if the destroyers were on "a routine mission in international waters," as was repeatedly asserted by Mr. McNamara when he testified Aug. 6 in support

While Senator McCarthy de-

The committee also is con-its accounts of the Tonkin in-intelligence mission, similar to that undertaken by the U.S.S.